

P-ISSN: 2349-8528 E-ISSN: 2321-4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2021; 9(1): 475-477 © 2021 IJCS Received: 01-10-2020 Accepted: 05-11-2020

C Krishnamoorthy

Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Horticulture, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu, India

Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application on growth and yield in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*)

C Krishnamoorthy

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i1g.11274

Abstract

The experiment was conducted at Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu affiliated under Tamil Nadu Agricultural University during the year 2018-2019. The experiment was laid out in Factorial randomized block design with three replications. The main factors were open, laboratory and shade (50 per cent) and the sub factor treatments consisted of different pot mixtures and foliar application of bio-stimulants. Various growth characters were recorded at 10 days interval from 35 and 45 days after sowing. The results revealed that foliar application of 19:19:19 (All 19) at 1% under laboratory condition (T₂) improved most of the growth and yield parameters namely plant height (22.45 cm), number of branches per plant (8.5), number of leaves per plant (19.5), leaves fresh weight (7.500 g), stem fresh weight (8.335 g), root length (8.50 cm) at different stages of growth as compared to other treatments and the lowest values were recorded in the treatment T₁ (control) in field condition.

Keywords: Pot mixtures, foliar, application, coriander, Coriandrum sativum

Introduction

India contributes around 80% of world coriander production and produces 5.92 lakh tonnes in 2018 - 2019. Rajasthan (60%) is the major producer of coriander in India followed by Madhya Pradesh, Andhra, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu and Orissa. India exported about 35,000-40,000 tonnes of Coriander in 2018 - 2019. Major importers are Malaysia, Pakistan, UAE and Saudi Arabia (Verma and Sen 2011) [13].

In Tamil Nadu, the area under coriander was 10,804 hectares with a production of 5,049 tonnes and Thoothukudi district ranks first in area (3975 ha) followed by Sivagangai and Ramanathapuram. Coriander is valued for its tender leaves and grains. The nutritional value of coriander leaves per 100 g is total calories (23), Protein (2.1 g), Fat (0.4 g), Carbohydrate (3.7 g), vitamin A (37%), vitamin C (45%), Calcium (67 mg), Phosphorus (48 mg), Iron (1.7 mg), Magnesium (26 mg), and Potassium (521 mg). It has eleven components of essential oils, six types of acids (including ascorbic acid, better known as vitamin-C), minerals and vitamins, each having a number of beneficial properties (Uddain *et al.*, 2014) [12].

Coriander is mostly grown by small and marginal farmers in drought prone areas following traditional cultivation practices and hence the yield obtained is 400kg ha⁻¹. The prolonged drought situation and erratic monsoon limits their timely sowing and establishment of the crop. Application of nutrients plays a pivotal role in the growth, production and quality of the crop. Continuous and heavy application of chemical inputs resulted in a major shift in soil microbial population, nutrient imbalance, fast depletion of soil fertility and continuous deterioration of soil physical and chemical properties and accumulation of toxic contaminants (Tharmaraj *et al.*, 2014) [11].

The increasing cost of chemical fertilizers widens the gap between the supply and demand thereby lowering the purchasing power of the small and marginal farmers. This necessitates for a viable alternate techniques to overcome these problems. Similarly luxurious use of organic manures is also under question due to its bulkiness, non-availability and cost. Therefore, it is inevitable to adopt a strategy by combining all these factors besides the application of biostimulants. Bio-stimulants are biologically active substances which influences photosynthesis, cell division and cell elongation of plants. Several growth substances have been commercialized and are available in the market to enhance the growth and development.

Corresponding Author: C Krishnamoorthy Assistant Professor and Head,

Assistant Professor and Head Department of Horticulture, Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu, India These bio-stimulants increase the productivity in an environmentally safe way and maximize the grower's economic returns.

Materials and Methods

The lab and field experiment was conducted at the Horticultural department of Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu. Geographically, it is located at 3^039° N latitude, 76^053° E longitude and at an altitude of 201.5m above MSL. The investigation was carried out under different environmental conditions in shade net (50 percent), partial shade (28 °C to 30 °C) and open condition (35 °C to 38 °C). The five sub factors are T_1 - soil, T_2 - coir + FYM + 19:19:19 (All 19), T_3 - Coir + sand + FYM + GA_3, T_4 - soil + sand + FYM + Azospirillum (Seed treatment), T_5 - soil + sand + FYM + panchagavya. The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (RBD) with five treatments replicated thrice. Sandhiya variety was used to conduct the experiment. It is a variety with extended vegetative phase widely used for greens.

Seeds were sowed in pots by broadcasting. Irrigation was given on the third day after sowing and subsequent irrigations were scheduled at 1 to 2 days intervals depending on the soil and climatic conditions. The foliar spray of bio stimulants were given uniformly to all the pots during morning hours. The treatment was given at 25 and 35 DAS (Days after sowing) with an interval of 10 days. Observations were taken after 5 days of each spraying. Five plants in each treatment in each replication were selected at random and utilized for recording observations on the germination, plant height, number of branches, number of leaves, leaf fresh weight, stem fresh weight, root length and the mean values were subjected to statistical analysis. Fully opened leaf was used for recording leaf measurements and physiological analysis.

Results and Discussion

Plant growth characters reflect the growth, vigour and the stand of the crop, which ultimately decides the yield. It has been known for a long time that plants which are grown in shaded habitat are capable of performing efficiently at low light intensities. Sunlight is the primary source of energy for photosynthesis. The yield of a plant is mainly determined by the factors associated with solar radiation namely its intensity, quality and duration.

Plant height

Among the foliar spray treatments, T₂ (All 19) recorded the highest plant height of 22.45 cm at 45 DAS followed by the seed treatment with Azospirillum (Table 1). The lowest plant height of 12.90 cm was obtained in control (T₁). The increase in plant height may be due to stimulation of cellular expansion and cell division under laboratory condition. The luxuriant growth of the plants under laboratory might be due to the prevalence of optimum heat units and protection from wind. Mohanalakshmi and Vadivel (2012) ^[7] explained that in etiolated seedlings, shading caused the plants to grow taller due to increase in concentrations of certain growth promoting substances like auxins and gibberellins. The rate of detoxification of free auxins in the plants might be less, leading to the lengthy growth, which reflected on greater internodal length and plant height with thin stem.

Number of branches per plant

Foliar application of All 19 @ 1% recorded the highest number of branches per plant compared with other levels of treatments (Table 2). The highest mean number of branches was noticed in T_2 (8.5) at 45 DAS respectively. The least number of branches (4.5) were observed in control (T_1) in field at 45 DAS. This might be due to increased uptake of nutrients and availability for the plant growth.

The easy transfer of nutrients to plants would have been enhanced by foliar application of All 19 which would have promoted protein synthesis from reserved carbohydrates leading to the production of more carbohydrates thereby leading to the production of more number of primary branches and leaves. The same effect was observed by Nowak and Strojny (2009) [8] in gerbera.

Number of leaves per plant

Among the interactions, the treatment combination of T_2 (Coir + FYM + All 19 @1%) in shade produced more number of leaves (19.5) at 45 days after sowing respectively (Table 3). Generally a crop under shade should produce sufficient number of leaves and expose maximum photosynthetic area (leaf area) to harness the available light energy and efficiently use it to synthesize adequate amount of photosynthates for biomass production which could have contributed for increased leaf production. The increased leaf area under shade may be the phenomenon of plant's adaptation to expose larger photosynthetic surface under shaded condition as reported in the earlier work by Khan et al. (2010) [4]. The tendency of increased leaf number under shade was also recorded by Singh (2014) [9] in coriander, Kalyanasundaram et al. (2012) [3] in sweet flag, Khayyat et al. (2011) [5] in turmeric, Swaminathan et al. (2012) [10] in davana and Hemla et al. (2009) [2] in ginger which further ascertains the present study.

Leaves and stem fresh weight

The interaction effect with the treatment combination of partial shade + ALL 19 @ 1% (T₂) showed the highest leaf weight (7.500 g) and stem fresh weight (8.335 g). The lowest leaf weight and stem weight was obtained in the treatment combination T₁ (open + absolute control) with 4.001g and 4.376 g respectively (Table 4 and 5). Besides, under shaded condition, reduced radiation may prevent scorching or wilting of leaves caused by marked increase in temperature within the leaf tissue from direct sunlight (Chattoo, 2011) [1] and thereby increases the leaf and stem fresh weight resulting in maximum retention of leaves.

Root length

The treatment T_2 (shade) recorded highest root length of 8.50 cm (Table 6). Least value was recorded in soil T_1 (4.84 cm). The results of present study were well supported by Kumar *et al.* (2013) ^[6] observed that increase the results of the experiments revealed that among the different treatments the foliar application of NPK (19:19:19) and biostimulants recorded the tallest plants, highest fruit weight, root length and fruit yield.

Table 1: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on plant height in coriander

Treatment	Lab (cm)	Shade (cm)	Field (cm)
T_1	12.90	13.25	13.40
T_2	22.45	18.25	14.90
T ₃	17.75	14.00	13.40
T ₄	19.75	13.45	13.00
T ₅	18.85	14.00	12.00
Mean	18.34	14.59	13.34
CD	1.094	2.325	1.625

Table 2: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on number of branches in coriander

Treatment	Lab	Shade	Field
T_1	5.5	4.5	4.5
T_2	8.5	8.0	5.0
T_3	5.0	6.5	5.5
T ₄	4.5	4.5	5.5
T ₅	6.0	5.0	3.5
Mean	5.7	5.7	4.8
CD	0.433	0.793	0.799

Table 3: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on number of leaves in coriander

Treatment	Lab	Shade	Field
T_1	12.0	5.5	6.5
T_2	19.5	17.5	15.0
T_3	11.0	14.5	8.0
T_4	10.0	8.5	8.0
T_5	15.5	8.0	7.0
Mean	13.2	11.2	8.9
CD	1.43	3.03	2.253

Table 4: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on leaves fresh weight in coriander

Treatment	Lab (g)	Shade (g)	Field (g)
T_1	4.573	4.220	4.001
T_2	7.500	6.485	5.950
T ₃	6.058	5.990	5.750
T ₄	6.007	5.682	5.338
T ₅	6.047	5.420	5.005
Mean	6.037	5.560	5.209
CD	0.987	0.820	0.741

Table 5: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on stem fresh weight in coriander

Treatment	Lab (g)	Shade (g)	Field (g)
T_1	7.804	4.500	4.376
T ₂	8.335	7.951	6.189
T ₃	6.508	6.114	5.995
T ₄	6.299	5.872	5.597
T ₅	6.501	5.885	5.093
Mean	7.089	6.064	5.450
CD	0.985	0.882	0.759

Table 6: Effect of different pot mixtures, light intensity and foliar application of biostimulants on root length in coriander

Treatment	Lab (cm)	Shade (cm)	Field (cm)
T_1	5.43	4.99	4.84
T_2	8.50	7.91	7.03
T ₃	7.84	7.62	6.77
T ₄	7.01	7.00	6.28
T ₅	6.28	6.48	6.33
Mean	7.012	6.800	6.250
CD	0.778	0.957	0.721

References

- Chattoo MA, Ahmed N, Faheema S, Narayan S, Khan SH, Hussain K, *et al.* Response of garlic (*Allium Sativum* L.) to bio-fertilizer application. The Asian Journal of Horticulture 2011;2(2):249-252.
- Hemla F, Ranganah S, Hosamani RM. Influence of Azospirillum on growth and yield of green chilli (Capsicum annum L.) Cv. Byadagi Dabbi at different nitrogen levels. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2009;16(1):108-112.

- 3. Kalyanasundaram B, Kumar TS, Kumar S, Swaminathan V. Effect of N, P with biofertilizers and vermicompost on growth and physiological characteristics of sweet flag (*Acorus calamus* L.). Advances in Plant Sciences 2012;21(1):323-326.
- 4. Khan MM, Aftab T, Naeem M. Synergistic effects of gibberellic acid and triacontanol on growth, physiology, enzyme activities and essential oil content of *Coriandrum sativum*. The Asian and Australasian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology 2010;4:24-29.
- 5. Khayyat F, Nazari S, Salehi H. Effects of different pot mixtures on pothos (*Epipremnum aureum* and Andre Golden Pothos) growth and development. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences 2011;2(4):341-348.
- 6. Kumar TS, Swaminathan V, Kumar S. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and biofertilizers on growth, yield and essential oil constituents in ration crop of davana (*Artemisia pallens*). Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry 2013;8(2):86-95.
- 7. Mohanalakshmi M, Vadivel E. Influence of organic manure and bioregulators on growth and yield of aswagandha. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2012;2:429-432.
- 8. Nowak JS, Strojny Z. Effect of different container media on the growth of gerbera. Acta Horticulturae 2009;60(8):59-63.
- 9. Singh KS. Effect of bio-fertilizer on growth and yield parameters of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) cv. Pant Haritima. International Journal of Seed Spices 2014;4(2):73-76.
- Swaminathan V, Kumar TS, Sadasakthi A, Balasubramanian R. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers on growth, yield and physiological characteristics of davana (*Artemisia pallens* Wall.). Advances in Plant Sciences 2012;21(2):693-695.
- 11. Tharmaraj K, Ganesh P, Sureshkumar R, Anandan A, Kolanjinathan K. A critical review on panchagavya- A boon for plant growth. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives 2014;2(6):1611-1614.
- 12. Uddain J, Hossain KM, Mostafa MG, Rahman M.J. Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth and yield of tomato. International Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 2014; 1(3):58-63.
- 13. Verma P, Sen NL. The impact of plant growth regulators on growth and biochemical constituents of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal Plants 2011;14(4):144-153.