



P-ISSN: 2349-8528

E-ISSN: 2321-4902

www.chemijournal.com

IJCS 2021; 9(1): 902-906

© 2021 IJCS

Received: 09-10-2020

Accepted: 17-11-2020

RJ Mevada

Ph.D., Scholar, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

MB Tandel

I/c Head SAF, Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

VM Prajapati

Assistant Professor, Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

DP Patel

Assistant Professor, Department of Natural Resource Management, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

NK Patel

Assistant Professor, Department of Vegetable Sciences ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

J Pathak

Assistant Professor, Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

DR Prajapati

Ph.D., Scholar, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author:**RJ Mevada**

Ph.D., Scholar, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India

Impact of INM and intercrop on soil properties under Teak (*Tectona grandis* L. f.) based agroforestry system

RJ Mevada, MB Tandel, VM Prajapati, DP Patel, NK Patel, J Pathak and DR Prajapati

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i1m.11339>

Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Impact of integrated nitrogen management and intercrop on soil properties under Teak (*Tectona grandis* L. f.) based agroforestry system" was carried out during summer season of the year 2019 and 2020. Okra var. GAO-5 grown as an intercrop at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India. The trial was framed with twelve different treatments comprised of combinations of vermicompost, neem cake and chemical fertilizers in various proportions *i.e.* 25 per cent, 50 per cent, 75 per cent and 100 per cent of recommend does of nitrogen in the form of organic and inorganic fertilizers under teak plantation and open condition in Randomized Block Design (RBD) consisting of three replications. Minimum soil pH (7.46), EC (0.652) and maximum available nitrogen (333.05 kg ha⁻¹) and SOC (0.860%) content in soil were noted in T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost under teak based agroforestry system. While, available phosphorus content (92.90 kg ha⁻¹) in soil at harvest of okra crop was recorded maximum in T₉: 100% RDN through Neem cake under teak based agroforestry systems. At the time of okra harvest available potassium content in soil was found maximum (734.04 kg ha⁻¹) in T₁₂: 75% RDN from Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost in open condition. Whereas, maximum soil pH (7.76), EC (0.921) and lowest available nitrogen (265.22 kg ha⁻¹), phosphorus (69.46 kg ha⁻¹), SOC (0.477%) was noted in T₁₁: 100% RDF through chemical fertilizer in open condition. Moreover, lowest available potassium in soil (537.30 kg ha⁻¹) was registered in T₁₀: sole tree crop. From the study it can be concluded that majority of the soil chemical properties are improved under teak based agroforestry system as compared to open filed condition.

Keywords: Soil properties, integrated nitrogen management, fertilizer, okra, teak, agroforestry system

Introduction

The tree based land-use agroforestry system is an ideal scientific approach in restoring soil fertility and improving its quality in several ways. Agroforestry systems have the potential to reduce erosion and run-off, and to maintain soil organic matter, improve soil physical properties and augment nitrogen fixation and promote efficient nutrient cycling (Nair, 1984) [15]. Agroforestry holds considerable potential as a major land use management alternative for conserving soil as well as improving and maintaining the soil fertility and productivity. Presence of tree species on farm land plays a vital role in increases the organic matter through addition of leaf litter, reduce nutrient losses through run off and enhance nutrient use efficiency. One of the major advantages of agroforestry in terms of improving or sustaining soil productivity was through its effect on soil conservation.

In vegetable production, chemical fertilizers are being used increasingly because of the quick availability of the nutrients to the plants. Indiscriminate use of inorganic fertilizers has resulted in decreased nutrient uptake, poor quality of vegetables and deterioration of soil health (Agarwal, 2003) [1]. Therefore, Vermicompost and Neem cake can be considered as an effective means of disposing solid wastes and improving crop production through better soil fertility. Concentrated organic manures that are rich in plant nutrients could replace the inorganic fertilizers on equivalent nutrient basis. Hangarge *et al.* (2002) [8] reported that recycling of organic waste through Vermicomposting, ordinary composting and enrichment of composting material help to minimize environment pollution and increase soil fertility.

However, integrated use of organic manures with optimum level of NPK fertilizers not only improves the nutrient status and soil health but also stabilizes the crop yield at higher level (Yadav and Vijayakumari, 2003) [32]. Som *et al.* (1992) [25] reported that from the organic manure also amend the soil structure, correct the adverse soil condition and improve the soil productivity. Therefore, present study conducted on impact of integrated nitrogen management and intercrop on soil properties under Teak (*Tectona grandis* L. f.) based agroforestry system.

Methods and Materials

Site location and climatic condition: A field experiment was conducted during *summer* season of 2019 and 2020, at College Farm, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India. Geographically it's located at 20.95° N latitude and 72.93° E longitude with an elevation of 10 m MSL. This area is typically characterized by humid and warm monsoon with rainfall of about 1500 mm, moderately cold winter, and fairly hot and humid summer. The average annual temperature is 27.1 °C.

FYM, Vermicompost and Neem cake

For experiment required quantity of FYM and Vermicompost were procured from Livestock production management, NAU, Navsari and Neem cake procured from the Horticulture Mandali, Navsari. Before the application of fertilizer the FYM, Vermicompost and Neem cake were analyzed to know the N content by using Wet digestion (Chromic acid) method (Trivedi *et al.*, 1999) [30]. On the basis of N content of Vermicompost (2.15%) and Neem cake (4.49%) different treatments were formulated.

Treatment applications: Experiment was designed in Randomized Block Design (RBD) in three replications with twelve treatment combinations *viz.*, Under Teak T₁: 100% RDF through Chemical Fertilizer (150:50:50 @ NPK kg ha⁻¹), T₂: 75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Neem cake, T₃: 50% RDN through Neem coated urea + 50% RDN through Neem cake, T₄: 25% RDN through Neem coated urea + 75% RDN through Neem cake, T₅: 75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost, T₆: 50% RDN through Neem coated urea + 50% RDN through Vermicompost, T₇: 25% RDN through Neem coated urea + 75% RDN through Vermicompost, T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost, T₉: 100% RDN through Neem cake, T₁₀: Sole teak tree, In open condition T₁₁: 100% RDF through Chemical Fertilizer and T₁₂: 75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Neem cake, The recommended dose of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium @ 150-50-50 kg ha⁻¹ for okra crop under Teak and open condition trial was applied in the form of Vermicompost, Neem Cake, Urea, Single Super Phosphate and Muriate of Potash. Nitrogen from organic fertilizer applied full does initially while from chemical Recommended dose of N was applied at 30 days interval in three split doses (*i.e.* 50-50-50 N kg ha⁻¹) in aqueous form of urea. Phosphorus and potassium applied as basal dose.

Soil Analysis: For soil analysis samples collected from 15 cm depth of all treated plot initial and after harvest of crop of each treatment of replications and analysed by using different standard methods given by different scientist for electrical conductivity (Jackson, 1967) [10]; soil pH and organic carbon

(Jackson, 1967) [10]; available N (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) [27]; available P₂O₅ (Singh *et al.*, 2005) [24] and available K₂O (Jackson, 1967) [10]. Initial soil properties of experiment site described in below Table - 1.

Table 1: Initial soil properties of experiment site

	Soil Properties	Open	Under Teak
1.	pH	7.78	7.54
2.	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	0.89	0.67
3.	N (kg ha ⁻¹)	262.27	312.06
4.	P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	66.99	77.93
5.	K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	732.5	542
6.	OC (%)	0.47	0.78

Statistical analysis: The recorded data were statistically analyzed and treatment means were compared by using critical difference tests at 5% of probability and analysis of variance (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985) [16].

Results

The data of soil chemical properties for both the years and pooled analysis are presented in the Table - 2, it confirmed that soil chemical properties *viz.*, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon (OC), available nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P₂O₅) and potassium (K₂O) assessed at the time of okra sowing and at final harvest varied significantly among different nitrogen management treatments under agroforestry system and in open condition. The interaction effect of years over treatments was found non-significant in pooled analysis for soil properties under teak based agroforestry system. The detailed description of results obtained is as follows:

The data with respect to soil pH are presenting in Table - 2. It is evident from the data presented in pooled analysis that at harvest of okra crop, the soil pH (7.76) and EC (0.921) was registered maximum in T₁₁: 100% RDF through chemical fertilizer in open condition which was at par with only T₁₂: 75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost (7.74) in open condition. Moreover, in the case of teak based agroforestry system, the maximum soil pH (7.52) and EC (0.697) recorded in T₁₀: Sole tree crop. Minimum soil pH (7.46) and EC (0.652) were recorded in T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost under teak based agroforestry system.

Available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and SOC content (%) are presented in Table - 2. Result of both years and pooled analysis showed that available N, P, K and SOC content significantly influenced by different INM treatments at the time of okra harvest under agroforestry system and in open condition. From the pooled analysis results, it is evident that available nitrogen (333.05 kg ha⁻¹) registered maximum in T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost under teak based agroforestry system which was at par with T₉, T₄, T₇, T₃, T₆, T₂, T₁, T₅ and T₁₀. Maximum organic carbon content in soil (0.860%) was recorded in T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost under teak based agroforestry system which was at par with T₇: 25% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost (0.839%). Maximum available phosphorous (92.90 kg ha⁻¹) in soil was recorded in T₉: 100% RDN through Neem cake which was on same bar with T₈, T₇, T₄, T₃, T₆ and T₂. Whereas, lowest available Nitrogen (265.22 kg ha⁻¹), organic carbon content (0.477%) and phosphorous (69.46 kg ha⁻¹) was reported in T₁₁: 100% RDF from chemical fertilizer in open condition. Available potassium in soil (734.04 kg ha⁻¹) was registered maximum in T₁₂: 75% RDN from Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through

Vermicompost in open condition which was at par with T₁₁: 100% RDF through Chemical fertilizer (731.55 kg ha⁻¹). However, in case of different nitrogen management treatments under teak based agroforestry system, T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost recorded maximum available

potassium content in soil (549.14 kg ha⁻¹) while, lowest (537.30 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in T₁₀: Sole tree crop.

From Table – 2, it can be revealed that during first and second year of investigation it showed the same trend as per the results of pooled analysis.

Table 2: Effect of different INM treatments on available N (kg ha⁻¹) content in soil at harvest of crop under teak based agroforestry systems and in open condition

Treatments	Soil pH			Soil EC (dS m ⁻¹)			Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)			Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)			Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)			Soil OC (%)		
	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled	Year 1	Year 2	Pooled
Under Teak																		
T ₁	7.51	7.51	7.51	0.677	0.687	0.682	317.36	319.22	318.29	80.27	82.36	81.32	540.50	542.22	541.36	0.787	0.795	0.791
T ₂	7.51	7.49	7.50	0.667	0.690	0.678	320.45	321.36	320.90	82.18	85.04	83.61	542.08	543.16	542.62	0.800	0.814	0.807
T ₃	7.49	7.48	7.49	0.666	0.677	0.671	324.49	326.66	325.58	85.20	87.29	86.24	545.24	545.55	545.40	0.803	0.823	0.813
T ₄	7.48	7.47	7.47	0.657	0.667	0.662	328.58	330.05	329.32	87.43	90.23	88.83	547.64	549.17	548.40	0.813	0.831	0.822
T ₅	7.51	7.50	7.51	0.693	0.680	0.687	315.83	319.12	317.47	82.27	83.15	82.71	542.34	544.51	543.43	0.800	0.817	0.808
T ₆	7.50	7.48	7.49	0.675	0.667	0.671	320.63	322.26	321.45	85.21	87.15	86.18	545.62	545.18	545.40	0.810	0.836	0.823
T ₇	7.48	7.47	7.48	0.680	0.650	0.665	324.25	328.27	326.26	87.21	91.08	89.15	548.14	549.31	548.72	0.832	0.846	0.839
T ₈	7.46	7.45	7.46	0.648	0.657	0.652	329.57	336.54	333.05	91.36	93.35	92.36	547.13	551.15	549.14	0.847	0.873	0.860
T ₉	7.47	7.47	7.47	0.667	0.654	0.660	331.05	334.21	332.63	90.61	95.18	92.90	545.17	544.59	544.88	0.820	0.827	0.823
T ₁₀	7.52	7.51	7.52	0.691	0.703	0.697	312.45	315.38	313.91	78.14	79.12	78.63	538.30	536.30	537.30	0.780	0.797	0.788
In open condition																		
T ₁₁	7.77	7.76	7.76	0.908	0.933	0.921	264.05	266.39	265.22	68.27	70.65	69.46	730.02	733.08	731.55	0.477	0.477	0.477
T ₁₂	7.75	7.73	7.74	0.900	0.907	0.903	267.25	270.54	268.89	71.56	74.13	72.85	733.04	735.03	734.04	0.493	0.497	0.495
S.Em (±)	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.016	0.014	0.010	12.08	12.99	7.94	5.19	6.05	3.57	41.23	39.43	25.52	0.013	0.014	0.009
CD @ 5%	0.20	0.18	0.12	0.047	0.042	0.029	35.44	38.11	22.52	NS	NS	10.13	120.94	115.65	72.34	0.037	0.040	0.024
S.Em (±) (Y×T)			0.06			0.015			12.55			5.64			40.34			0.013
CD @ 5% (Y×T)			NS			NS			NS			NS			NS			NS
CV (%)	1.57	1.39	1.48	3.87	3.54	3.71	6.69	7.13	6.91	10.90	12.34	11.67	12.41	11.84	12.13	2.91	3.11	3.01

T₁:-100% RDF through Chemical fertilizer (150:50:50 @NPK kg ha⁻¹), T₂:-75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Neem cake, T₃:-50% RDN through Neem coated urea + 50% RDN through Neem cake, T₄:-25% RDN through Neem coated urea + 75% RDN through Neem cake, T₅:-75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost, T₆:-50% RDN through Neem coated urea + 50% RDN through Vermicompost, T₇:-25% RDN through Neem coated urea + 75% RDN through Vermicompost, T₈:-100% RDN through Vermicompost, T₉:-100% RDN through Neem cake, T₁₀: Sole Teak tree T₁₁:-100% RDF through Chemical fertilizer, T₁₂:-75% RDN through Neem coated urea + 25% RDN through Vermicompost

Discussion

The various chemical properties of soil were improved under integrated nitrogen management in teak based agroforestry system as compared to open condition (Table – 2). The result showed the trend increasing the amount of inorganic fertilizer which increased the soil pH and EC in both years after the harvest of crop. Increased in EC values over the control could be attributed to quality of irrigation water (EC: 2.1 dS m⁻¹). However, among the treatments, the EC was found decreased numerically as the amount of addition of organic matter increased. Among the organic sources, Vermicompost had reported more beneficial effect over Neem cake when applied at equal N rates. In both years at the time of crop harvest available nitrogen, phosphorus and soil organic carbon content in soil were registered significantly increased with application of T₈: 100% RDN through Vermicompost and followed by T₉: 100% RDN through Neem cake under teak based agroforestry system as compared to other treatments while available potassium maximum reported in open filed it might be due to already availability high amount of K in soil of open field. Agroforestry system improved the various properties of soil might be due to high addition of organic matter as a result of leaf litter and root residues under tree cover. Secondly, it may be due to addition of leaf litter and its decomposition due to higher microbial activities and encouraging physical condition *i.e.* soil moisture and temperature of soil under tree cover which ultimately released soil nutrients. Third reason behind high nutrients in soil under tree cover may be recycling of these nutrients from deeper layer of the soil added to the upper layer in the form of leaf

litter. The enrichment of soil by tree cover may result by a) reduction in nutrient loss through erosion b) reduction of nitrogen loss through evaporation c) mulching effect of leaf litter d) keeping soil warmer in winter and colder in summer. Composts work as a 'slow release fertilizer' whereas chemical fertilizers release their nutrients rather quickly in soil and soon get depleted. From the result significant amount of 'chemical nitrogen' is lost from soil due to oxidation in sunlight. However, with application of vermicompost the 'organic nitrogen' tends to be released much faster from the excreted 'humus' by worms and those mineralized by them and the net overall efficiency of nitrogen (N) is considerably greater than that of chemical fertilizers and also availability of phosphorus (P) is sometimes much greater found by Suhane (2007) [28]. These results are in conformity with the earlier findings of Sathesh (1998) [21], Badole and More (2000) [6], Anitha and Prema (2003) [4], Mahmoud *et al.* (2009) [12], Das *et al.* (2010) [7], Saravaiya *et al.* (2010) [20], Sharma *et al.* (2011) [22], Urmalia and Bansal (2014) [31], Sharma *et al.* (2015) [23], Kumar *et al.* (2016) [11], Reddy *et al.* (2017) [18], Patel (2018) and Sondarva (2018) [26].

Among different integrated nitrogen management treatments under teak based agroforestry system and in open condition, the soil properties were improved with the application of 100% organic fertilizer then other treatments. Whereas, soil properties declined by application of 100% chemical fertilizer. Similar results are also reported by Anand and Yaduvanshi (2000) [3], Renuka and Sankar (2001) [19], Anitha and Prema (2003) [4], Marathe *et al.* (2007) [13] in sweet potato,

Anwer *et al.* (2005)^[5], Islam *et al.* (2017)^[9], Amiry *et al.* (2018)^[2], Tripathi (2019)^[29] and Mng'omba *et al.* (2020)^[14].

Conclusion

From the investigation it may be inferred that among the different integrated nitrogen management treatments, application of 100% RDN through Vermicompost significantly improved the soil properties as compared to other treatments. In comparison of open and under teak based agroforestry. Soil properties under teak based agroforestry systems improved soil fertility status as compared to open condition. Moreover, an application of 100% RDN from vermicompost improved various soil properties which might be very useful in long run.

Acknowledgement

We extend our thanks to Principal and Dean, ASPEE College of Horticulture and Forestry, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India for providing all necessary facilities to carry out this research is highly acknowledged.

References

1. Agarwal AK. Role of organic enriches in management of soil salinity. *Agrobios* 2003;2:21-23.
2. Amiry MN, Anjanappa M, Ibaad MH, Indiresk KM, Patil SV, Kumar AS *et al.* Influence of integrated nutrient management on soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake and quality of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L). Moench.) cv. Arka Anamika under Drip Irrigation. *International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience* 2018;6(1):1012-1015.
3. Anand S, Yaduvanshi NPS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil properties and yield of rice in alkali soils. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2000;48:279-282.
4. Anitha S, Prema A. Vermicompost boosts crop production. *Indian Faming* 2003, 15-18.
5. Anwer M, Patra DD, Chand M, Kumar A, Naqvi A, Khanuja SPS. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on growth, herb and oil yield, nutrient accumulation and oil quantity of French basil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 2005;36:1737-1746.
6. Badole SB, More SD. Soil organic carbon status as influenced by organic and inorganic nutrient sources in Vertisol. *J Maharashtra Agril. Univ* 2000;25:220-222.
7. Das A, Tomar JMS, Ramesh T, Munda GC, Ghosh PK, Patel DP. Productivity and economic of lowland rice as influenced by incorporation of N-fixing tree biomass in mid-altitude subtropical Meghalaya, North East India. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 2010;80:9-19.
8. Hangarge DS, Rault RS, Malewar GV, More SD, Keshbhat SS. Yield attributes and nutrient uptake by Chilli due to organics and inorganics on vertisol. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities* 2002;127:109-110.
9. Islam MA, Islam S, Akter A, Rahman MH, Nandwani D. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties and the growth, yield and quality of Tomato in Mymensingh, Bangladesh, *Agriculture* 2017;7(18):1-7.
10. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi 1967, 183-226.
11. Kumar BKS, Guldekar VD, Illorkar VM. Influence of Teak (*Tectona grandis*) and Bamboo (*Dendrocalamus strictus*) planting systems on micronutrient status of soil. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences* 2016;8(56):3039-3043.
12. Mahmoud E, EL- Kader NA, Robin P, Akkal-Corfin N, El-Rahman LA. Effects of different organic and inorganic fertilizers on cucumber yield and some soil properties, *World Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 2009;5(4):408-414.
13. Marathe RA, Bharambe PR. Correlation of integrated nutrient management induced changes in soil properties with yield and quality of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) on udic Haplustert. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 2007;55(3):270-275.
14. Mng'omba SA, Akinnifesi FK. Effect of soil amendment with *Gliricidia sepium* and *Tephrosia vogelii* biomass on maize yield at Makoka in Malawi. *Agroforestry System* 2020;94:441-449.
15. Nair PKR. *Soil productivity aspects of agroforestry*. ICRAF, Nairobi, Kenya 1984.
16. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. In: *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. Fourth enlarged edition revised by Sukhatme, P.V. and Amble, V.N. Published by Sat Prakash, Under-Secretary for ICAR, New Delhi. India 1985.
17. Patel SM. Performance of cucurbitaceous vegetable crops under teak (*Tectona grandis* L. f.) based silvi-horticultural system in South Gujarat. Ph. D. Thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat 2018.
18. Reddy BKS, Kumar B, Reddy KVS. Soil macro nutrient status in Teak (*Tectona grandis*) and Bamboo (*Dendrocalamus strictus*) plantations in vertisols of Nagpur, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science* 2017;6(2):453-463.
19. Renuka B, Sankar CR. Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of tomato, in National seminar on changing scenario in the production systems of Horticultural crops, August, 28-30, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India 2001, 216-219.
20. Saravaiya SN, Patel NB, Ahir MP, Patel NM, Desai KD, Patel JB. Integrated nutrient management (*Solanum melongena* L.) and other solanaceous vegetables-A Review. *Agricultural Review* 2010;31(2):79-92.
21. Sathesh N. Studies on cumulative and residual effect of organics of rice-rice cropping system. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 1998.
22. Sharma A, Singh RP, Saxena AK. Performance of teak (*Tectona grandis* Linn. F.) in sole and agroforestry plantation on wheat fields in eastern Uttar Pradesh, *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 2011;2(2):244-247.
23. Sharma S, Singh B, Sikka R. Changes in some physico-chemical characteristics of soils under poplar-based agroforestry system. *Agricultural Research Journal* 2015;52:19-22.
24. Singh D, Chhonkar PK, Dwivedi BS. *Manual on soil, plant and water analysis*. Westville Publishing House, New Delhi, India 2005.
25. Som MG, Hashim H, Mandal AK, Maity TK. Influence of organic manure on growth and yield of Brinjal. *Crop Reseach* 1992;5:88-84.
26. Sondarva RL. Effect of INM on growth and yield components of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) under Teak (*Tectona grandis* L. f.) based silvi-horticultural system in South Gujarat region. Ph. D. Thesis submitted

- to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat 2018.
27. Subbiah BV, Asijah GLA. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science* 1956;25:259-260.
 28. Suhane RK. Vermicompost, Publication of Rajendra Agriculture University, Pusa, Bihar, India 2007, 88.
 29. Tripathi SK. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on soil properties and yield of wheat in salt affected soil. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science* 2019;8(05):1140-1148.
 30. Trivedi BS, Patel GG, Desai RM, Padhiyar GM. Comparison of Kjeldahl's and Chromic acid methods of nitrogen determination. *Gujarat Agri. Uni. Res. J* 1999;25(91):9-14.
 31. Urmalia R, Bansal S. Soil status under teak plantation of Umaria district. *Journal of Tropical Forestry* 2014;30(2):8-11.
 32. Yadav H, Vijayakumari B. Influence of vermicompost with organic and inorganic manures on biometric and yield parameters of chilli [*Capsicum annuum* L.] var. Plri]. *Crop Research* 2003;25(2):236-243.