

P-ISSN: 2349–8528 E-ISSN: 2321–4902 www.chemijournal.com IJCS 2021; 9(2): 869-872 © 2021 IJCS Received: 08-12-2020 Accepted: 19-02-2021

#### Lupita Borah

M.Sc. Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India

#### Jumi Saikia

Professor, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India

Corresponding Author: Lupita Borah M.Sc. Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India

# Effect of foliar application of zinc on growth and yield of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Assam condition

## Lupita Borah and Jumi Saikia

### DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2021.v9.i2m.11927

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the months of November-January 2018-19, at the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat to study the effect of foliar application of zinc on growth and yield of garden pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) with five different treatments and four replications. Among the various treatments, the highest plant height (59.15cm) and number of branches (11.60) were recorded with T<sub>4</sub> (0.75% Zn), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn). T<sub>3</sub> also recorded the highest fresh weight (39.30g) and dry weight (9.08g) of the plant a harvest as well as highest root weight per plant (0.73g). In case of yield attributing characters, the highest weight of the pod (6.63g), number of seeds per pod (7.59), weight of the seeds per pod (3.65g), shelling percentage (55.60%) and pod yield (56.31g/plant; 14.74t/ha) were recorded in case of T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn). However, the highest number of pods per plant (15.80) was recorded with T<sub>2</sub> (0.25% Zn), which was very closely followed by T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn). In many instances the trend decreases after a particular point, due to negative effect of excess micronutrient application than the optimum amount needed, which affects the plant in various ways. In a broader view, it can be suggested from the present study, that the one with 0.50% zinc application (T<sub>3</sub>) turns out to be the optimum treatment, beneficial towards improving the growth and yield attributing characters.

Keywords: Garden pea, zinc sulphate, micronutrient, foliar application

### Introduction

Garden pea (Pisum sativum L.), belonging to the leguminaceae family is a cool season herbaceous annual crop originally from the Mediterranean basin and near east, is now grown in many parts of the world. India is one of the top five pea producing countries of the world (Rawal and Navarro, 2019)<sup>[23]</sup>. It is the third most important pulse crop at global level and the third most important rabi pulse of India. Garden pea is one of the most nutritious leguminous vegetable rich in phytonutrients, minerals, vitamins, antioxidants, proteins, fiber, and has low quantity but high quality fat, having several health benefits, like preventing stomach cancer, arthritis, alzheimer's, diabetes and enhancing immunity. In addition to human body, being a leguminous crop it fixes nitrogen in the soil and is beneficial for the agricultural field as well. Zinc is an essential micronutrient for crop nutrition, as it is involved in many physiological functions and enzyme activities, which are needed for protein and auxin synthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, maintenance of the cellular membrane and pollen formation. Unfortunately, about 50% of Indian soils are deprived of zinc (Singh and Sampath, 2011) [29], which leads to visible abnormalities in plants like stunted growth, chlorosis, smaller leaves, spikelet sterility and increases the plant susceptibility to high light or temperature injury and fungal infections. Naturally, soil is the only source for zinc availability to plants. But the soil zinc is being depleted by the intensive cropping system and high yielding varieties, which makes zinc deficiency a major problem all over the country. The deficiency of zinc has increased from 44% to 48% and it is expected to further increase up to 63% by 2025 (Shukla and Tiwari, 2014) [27]. The poor use efficiency of the soil zinc application has compelled the search for alternatives and hence different modes have been widely studied and adopted (Yashona et al., 2018)<sup>[33]</sup>. One such alternative, suitable for the micronutrients is the method of foliar application. A very efficient method, which may show the most favourable response with low rate but multiple applications, in a proper time frame of growing period.

Considering different reports, application of zinc is necessary for healthy crop growth and higher yields and its foliar application has shown some positive effects in various crops. Based on this background, the present investigation was carried out for assessing the growth and yield of garden pea as influenced by foliar application of zinc, in the form of zinc sulphate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) in Assam condition.

## **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted in the rabi season of 2018-19, in the Experimental Farm of the Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University. For precisely assessing the effects of various treatments during the period of investigation, all the plants were subjected to uniform cultural practices. The experiment was laid out with Randomized Block Design in four replications. There were five treatments, consisting of T<sub>1</sub> [0% Zn (Control)], T<sub>2</sub> [0.25% Zn (11.9g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/l water)], T<sub>3</sub> [0.50% Zn (23.8g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/l water)], T<sub>4</sub> [0.75% Zn (35.7g ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/l water)] and T<sub>5</sub> [1.00% Zn (47.6g/ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/l water)], which were applied in the form of foliar application twice, that is 20 days after sowing and 35 days after sowing respectively. Basal application of FYM @5t/ha and urea, SSP, MOP and Boron @21.73kg/ha, 75 kg/ha, 62.5 kg/ha and 10 kg/ha respectively were done during the time of plot preparation. The variety used in this experiment was DS-10, an erect and dwarf mid-season pea variety. The seeds were treated with Rhizobium leguminosarum @100g/ kg pea seeds, before sowing, for which slurry was prepared by mixing the rhizobium culture with water, and then the seeds were soaked in that slurry, 4 hours prior to sowing. The green pods were harvested at horticultural maturity of young tender stage after full pod filling. The parameters regarding growth and yield of the crop were carefully observed and recorded in their proper time. All the recorded data were subjected to statistical analysis as per the standard procedure as described by Panse and Sukhtame (1985) [20].

# Results and Discussion

# **Growth parameters**

Data pertaining to growth parameters are presented in Table 1. The perusal of results indicated that, both the measured plant height and the number of branches of the garden pea plants responded significantly to the applied treatments.  $T_4$  with 0.75% Zn application recorded the highest plant height (59.15 cm), as well as the highest number of branches(11.60), which was followed by  $T_3$  (0.50% Zn),  $T_5$  (1.00% Zn)and  $T_2$  (0.25% Zn). The lowest plant height (47.58cm) and number of branches (8.60) were noted in case of  $T_1$  (Control). This can be attributed to the fact that zinc affects the regulation of

auxin synthesis, which is well known to be the growth promoting hormone and consequently activates the cell division and enlargement (El-Tohamy and El-Greadly, 2007) <sup>[5]</sup>. These results are in close conformity with Togay *et al.* (2004) <sup>[31]</sup>, Pandey *et al.* (2010) <sup>[18]</sup> and Nadergoli *et al.* (2011) <sup>[13]</sup> who also reported great influence of zinc application on shoot length or height of the plant, and showed its positive effect on the same. In case of number of branches as well, the results are in harmony with the findings of Togay *et al.* (2004) <sup>[31]</sup>, Ali and Mahmoud (2013) <sup>[2]</sup>, Bhamare *et al.* (2018) <sup>[4]</sup> and Hamouda *et al.* (2018) <sup>[8]</sup>. No significant effect was observed in case of days to 50% flowering and therefore days to first harvest of the garden pea pods as well with respect to the different treatment applications. A similar result is also displayed by Bhamare *et al.* (2018) <sup>[4]</sup>.

Fresh weight of the plant increased with the increasing dosage of zinc application, till it reached the highest weight (39.30g) in  $T_3$  (0.50% Zn), and then showed gradual decrease. The dry weight of the plant at harvest also measured the highest (9.08g) in case of T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn), followed by T<sub>4</sub> (0.75% Zn). This may be because of the favourable effect exhibited by zinc on biological processes and plant metabolism. Zinc also has stimulating effect on photosynthetic pigments and other enzyme activity, which then encourages the vegetative growth of plants (Sadeghzadeh, 2013)<sup>[24]</sup>. Moreover, by affecting the enzymes required, it influences nitrogen metabolism, energy transfer and protein synthesis as well (Hafeez et al., 2013)<sup>[6]</sup>. The increase in fresh weight at harvest is also evident from the increase in plant height and number of branches. The number of leaves also increases with zinc application (Hamouda et al., 2018)<sup>[8]</sup>, and so does the root growth, nodule count and weight (Singh and Bhatt, 2013)<sup>[28]</sup>. Haider et al. (2018)<sup>[7]</sup> also reported of improvement of the root system, its length and proliferation. So together all these factors lead to growth and development of healthy well-built plants, which in turn increases the fresh weight of the plants at harvest, as reported by Pandey et al. (2010) <sup>[18]</sup>, Yuan et al. (2016) <sup>[34]</sup> and Hamouda et al. (2018) <sup>[8]</sup>, also the dry weight of the plant as reported by Karaman et al.(1999) [10], Stoyanova and Doncheva (2002) [30], Pandey and Gautam (2009) <sup>[19]</sup>, Pandey et al. (2010) <sup>[18]</sup>, Kumar et al.(2016) <sup>[11]</sup>, Hamouda et al. (2018)<sup>[8]</sup> and Pal et al. (2019)<sup>[15]</sup>. The results reveal that the highest root weight per plant (0.73g) was accounted in case of  $T_3$  (0.50% Zn). The increase in root weight is also reported by Pandey et al. (2010)<sup>[18]</sup>, Singh and Sampath (2011)<sup>[29]</sup>, Singh and Bhatt (2013)<sup>[28]</sup> and Jamal et *al.* (2018)<sup>[9]</sup>. However the shoot: root ratio in dry weight basis did not show any significant difference with the treatment application.

Table 1: Effect of zinc treatment on growth attributing characters of garden pea

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches	Days to 50% flowering	to	Fresh weight of the plant at harvest (g)	• 0		Shoot: Root (Dry weight basis)
T <sub>1</sub> [0% Zn (Control)]	47.58	8.60	45.75	76.75	23.54	6.58	0.32	19.75
T <sub>2</sub> [0.25%Zn(11.9g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	51.00	9.70	47.00	77.75	34.25	8.13	0.70	10.94
T <sub>3</sub> [0.50%Zn(23.8g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	55.80	10.75	46.50	77.50	39.30	9.08	0.73	12.86
T <sub>4</sub> [0.75%Zn(35.7g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	59.15	11.60	50.50	79.00	33.75	8.42	0.71	11.87
T <sub>5</sub> [1.00%Zn (47.6g/ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	53.73	10.25	48.75	78.50	28.54	7.85	0.54	13.68
S.Ed.	2.80	0.82	1.64	0.96	3.71	0.63	0.13	2.74
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	6.11	1.79	NS*	NS*	8.09	1.37	0.28	NS*

NS\* = Non significant

### **Yield parameters**

Data pertaining to yield attributing parameters are presented in Table 2. The application of zinc in various treatment doses had a significant promoting effect on the yield attributing characters like number of pods per plant, weight of the pods in grams, number of seeds per pod and weight of the seeds per pod in grams. In almost all the treatments T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn) was found to give the highest value, except for number of pods per plant, where the highest number (15.80) was obtained in case of 0.25% Zn application (T<sub>2</sub>), though T<sub>3</sub> followed really close and its performance was at par with T<sub>2</sub>. This can be attributed to the fact that, application of zinc is essentially very beneficial for the reproductive yield of the crop, as it stimulates the male and female gametogenesis, which increases the number of flowers per plant. Then again, zinc application also stimulates the sporogenous tissue production, resulting in increase of pollen grain number per anther. In addition, zinc also facilitates the pollen stigma interaction by improving the stigma receptivity and functioning and also the pollen viability, and together, all these lead to proper germination of pollen grains and normal development as well as increase in yield parameters like number, size and weight of the pods and seeds (Pandey and Gupta, 2012)<sup>[16]</sup>. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Nadergoli et al. (2011) <sup>[13]</sup>, Kumar et al. (2012) <sup>[12]</sup>, Salehin and Rahman (2012)<sup>[25]</sup>, Ali and Mahmoud (2013)<sup>[2]</sup>, Pandey et al. (2013) <sup>[17]</sup> and many others. Moreover, with the increase of number of seeds per pod and weight of the seeds per pod, the shelling percentage increases naturally. This explains the result obtained, which shows that the highest shelling percentage (55.60%) was obtained with T<sub>3</sub> (0.50% Zn), very closely followed by  $T_4$  (0.75% Zn) and the lowest is in case of the control  $(T_1)$ . The results are in accordance with the authors Kumar et al. (2012)<sup>[12]</sup> and Ali et al. (2017)<sup>[1]</sup>. Now naturally, with the increase of number of pods per plant, weight of the pods, number of seeds per pod and weight of the seeds per pod along with the foliar application of zinc, the pod yield definitely increases, as evident by the highest pod yield obtained in case of  $T_3$  (0.50% Zn), followed by  $T_2$  (0.25% Zn). Similar results are also reported by Tomar et al. (1990) <sup>[32]</sup>, Sangwan *et al.* (2004) <sup>[26]</sup>, Togay *et al.* (2004) <sup>[31]</sup>, Pandey et al. (2010)<sup>[18]</sup>, Quddus et al. (2011)<sup>[22]</sup>, Kumar et al. (2012) <sup>[12]</sup>, Pathak et al. (2012)<sup>[21]</sup> and Bhamare et al. (2018)<sup>[4]</sup>.

Table 2: Effect of zinc treatment on yield attributing characters of garden pea

Treatments	Number of	Weight of the	Number of	Weight of the	Shelling	Pod yield	
Treatments	pods/plant	pod (g)	Seeds/pod	seeds/ pod (g)	%	Per plant (g)	(t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> [0% Zn(Control)]	13.25	4.96	5.34	2.39	48.33	41.37	9.22
T <sub>2</sub> [0.25%Zn(11.9g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	15.80	5.92	7.42	3.06	52.43	53.18	13.66
T <sub>3</sub> [0.50%Zn(23.8g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	15.55	6.63	7.59	3.65	55.60	56.31	14.74
T <sub>4</sub> [0.75%Zn(35.7g ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	14.38	6.41	7.34	3.53	55.28	51.34	11.96
T <sub>5</sub> [1.00%Zn (47.6g/ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /l water)]	13.50	6.23	7.17	3.13	50.41	49.01	11.07
S. Ed.	0.88	0.50	0.70	0.30	1.63	1.62	0.58
CD0.05	1.92	1.10	1.54	0.67	3.55	3.53	1.26

NS\* = Non significant

It can be noticed that in many of the parameters, though a positive trend was followed till a particular treatment, after that the values decreased gradually. This might be attributed to the fact that zinc being a micronutrient shows negative effect in the growth and development of the plant and other related aspects, when applied in even a slightly larger quantity than what the plant needs, leading to symptoms of toxicity. Moreover, since the zinc is applied in the form of foliar application, the plants receive it shortly and more efficiently with lesser loss than the soil application, which is another factor for even a little more of the zinc to the plants, to affect it negatively. In a broader view, the one with 0.50% zinc application  $(T_3)$  turns out to be the optimum treatment, which is in compliance with the percentage of zinc foliar application suggested by National Food Security Mission of India (Anon., 2015) <sup>[3]</sup>. Similar results were also found in the works of Paivoke (1983)<sup>[14]</sup> and Stoyanova and Doncheva (2002)<sup>[30]</sup>.

## Conclusion

Application of zinc proved to affect the growth and development of garden pea in several ways. It can be concluded from the experiment, that  $T_3$  with 0.50% zinc application is the most beneficial treatment among the rest, for almost all of the growth and yield attributing characters.

## References

- 1. Ali A, Ahmad B, Hussain I, Ali A, Shah FA. Effect of phosphorus and zinc on yield of lentil. Pure Appl. Biol 2017;6(4):1397-1402.
- 2. Ali EA, Mahmoud AM. Effect of foliar spray by different salicylic acid and zinc concentrations on seed yield and

yield components of mung bean in sandy soil. Asian J Crop Sci 2013;5(1):33-40.

- 3. Anonymous. Guidelines for use of micronutrients, soil ameliorants and integrated nutrient management practices in NFSM States, National food security mission of India, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi. Retrieved from https://www.farmer.gov.in/ 2015.
- 4. Bhamare RS, Sawale DD, Jagtap PB, Tamboli BD, Kadam M. Effect of iron and zinc on growth and yield of French bean in iron and zinc deficient inceptisol soil. Int. J Chem. Stud 2018;6(3):3397-3399.
- El-Tohamy WA, El-Greadly NHM. Physiological responses, growth, yield and quality of snap beans in response to foliar application of yeast, vitamin E and zinc under sandy soil conditions. Aust. J Basic Appl. Sci 2007;1:294-299.
- Hafeez B, Khanif YM, Saleem M. Role of zinc in plant nutrition- a review. Amer. J Expt. Agric 2013;3(2):374-391.
- 7. Haider MU, Farooq M, Hussain M. Foliage applied zinc ensures better growth, yield and grain biofortification of mungbean. Int. J Agric. Biol 2018;20:2817-2822.
- 8. Hamouda HA, Anany TG, El-Bassyouni MSS. Growth and yield of dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by Zn and B foliar application. Middle East J Agric. Res 2018;7(2):639-649.
- 9. Jamal A, Khan MI, Tariq M, Fawad M. Response of Mung bean crop to different levels of applied iron and zinc. J Hort. Plant Res 2018;3:13-22.
- 10. Karaman MR, Brohi AR, Inal A, Taban S. Effect of iron and zinc applications on growth and on concentration of

mineral nutrients of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) grown in artificial siltation soils. Tr. J Agric. Forest 1999;23:341-348.

- 11. Kumar R, Rathore DK, Singh M, Kumar P, Khippal A. Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on growth and yield of fodder cowpea. Legume Res 2016;39(2):262-267.
- 12. Kumar S, Sharma MK, Chandra A, Sharma BD. Yield and quality of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) as effected by different levels of zinc and farm yard manure. Asian J Hort 2012;7(1):104-107.
- Nadergoli MS, Yarnia M, Khoei, FR. Effect of Zinc and Manganese and Their Application Method on Yield and Yield Components of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. Khomein). Middle-East J Sci. Res 2011;8(5):859-865.
- 14. Päivöke A. The long-term effects of zinc on the growth and development, chlorophyll content and nitrogen fixation of the garden pea. Ann. Bot. Fenn 1983;20(2):205-213.
- 15. Pal P, Mondal S, Samanta P. Effect of Zinc Foliar Application on Seed Yield of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) and Its Yielding Components. Curr. J Appl. Sci. Technol 2019;33(2):1-6.
- 16. Pandey N, Gupta B. Improving seed yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. var. DPU-88-31) through foliar fertilization of zinc during the reproductive phase. J Plant Nutr 2012;35(11):1683-1692.
- 17. Pandey N, Gupta B, Pathak GC. Enhanced yield and nutritional enrichment of seeds of *Pisum sativum* L. through foliar application of zinc. Sci. Hortic 2013;164:474-483.
- 18. Pandey SK, Bahuguna RN, Pal M, Trivedi AK, Hemantaranjan A, Srivastava JP. Effects of pre-treatment and foliar application of zinc on growth and yield components of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under induced salinity. Indian J Plant Physiol 2010;15(2):164-167.
- 19. Pandey SN, Gautam S. Effects of zinc supply on its uptake, growth and biochemical constituents in lentil. Indian J Plant Physiol 2009;14(1)67-70.
- 20. Panse VG, Sukhtame PU. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agril. Research, New Delhi 1985, 145-152.
- 21. Pathak GC, Gupta B, Pandey N. Improving reproductive efficiency of chickpea by foliar application of zinc. Braz. J Plant Physiol 2012;24(3):173-180.
- 22. Quddus MA, Rashid MH, Hossain MA, Naser HM. Effect of zinc and boron on yield and yield contributing characters of mungbean in low ganges river floodplain soil at madaripur, Bangladesh. Bangladesh J Agril. Res. 2011;36(1):75-85.
- 23. Rawal V, Navarro DK. (Eds.). The Global Economy of Pulses. FAO, Rome 2019.
- 24. Sadeghzadeh B. A review of zinc nutrition and plant breeding. J Soil Sci. Plant Nutr 2013;13(4):905-927.
- 25. Salehin F, Rahman S. Effects of zinc and nitrogen fertilizer and their application method on yield and yield components of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Agril. Sci 2012;3(1):9-13.
- 26. Sangwan PS, Raj M. Effect of Zinc Nutrition on Yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Under Dryland Conditions. Indian J Dryland Agric. Res. Dev 2004;19(1):01-03.
- 27. Shukla AK, Tiwari PK. Progress report of AICRP on micro- and secondary nutrients and pollutant elements in soils and plants. ICAR-IISS, Bhopal 2014.

- Singh AK, Bhatt BP. Effect of foliar application of zinc on growth and seed yield of late-sown lentil (*Lens culinaris*). Indian J Agril. Sci 2013;83(6):622-626.
- 29. Singh MV, Sampath KT. Micronutrient status in farms of India and their effect on health and productivity. Proceedings 10<sup>th</sup> NAAS Congress, held at Lucknow 2011.
- 30. Stoyanova Z, Doncheva S. The effect of zinc supply and succinate treatment on plant growth and mineral uptake in pea plant. Braz. J Plant Physiol 2002;14(2):111-116.
- 31. Toğay N, Çiftçi V, Toğay Y. The Effects of Zinc Fertilization on Yield and Some Yield Components of Dry Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*). Asian J Plant Sci 2004;3(6):701-704.
- Tomar RAS, Kushwaha HS, Tomar SPS. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) varieties to phosphorus and zinc under rainfed conditions. Ind. J Agron 1990;35(4):391-394.
- 33. Yashona DS, Mishra US, Aher SB. Response of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) to sole and combined modes of zinc fertilization. J Pharmacogn. Phytochem 2018;7(4):2703-2710.
- 34. Yuan L, Wu J, Wang C, Liu S, Zhu S. Effect of zinc enrichment on growth and nutritional quality in pea sprouts. J Food Nutr. Res 2016;4(2):100-107.